Tatsfield Village Hall Risk Management Statement

| | Potential risk | Likelihood | Potential effect | Impact | Risk mitigation |
|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---|--------|--|
| Governance | Loss of key trustees | med | loss of knowledge, experience and commitmentoperational impact | high | short-term stand-in planning documented procedures and instructions |
| | Lack of relevant skills or commitment | low | poor decision making facilities deteriorate legal &/or safety issues neglected | high | good team management if necessary, co-option |
| | Conflicts of interest | low | decisions may not be based on relevant considerations | med | existing election and appointment procedures |
| | Poor communication with beneficiaries | low | poor management trustees unaware of problems issues for users not conveyed promptly or at all | med | major users represented on Management Committee up-to-date information available on Village Hall website and in Parish Magazine |
| | Poor major works project management | low | funds wastedpoor quality or inappropriate facilities | med | if trustees lack necessary skills, use local or professional management |
| | Difficulty in identifying | med | inability to undertake maintenance projectsdeterioration of premises | med | seek advice from Parish Council or other local bodies |

| competent contractors | | | | |
|---|---------|--|------|--|
| Deterioration of facilities | low | decline in bookings - loss of income | med | designated funds reserved |
| Vandalism or damage to or loss of equipment | low/med | inconvenience to beneficiaries possible closure of hall loss of income | high | review insurance cover annually |
| Employment/ contractual issues (cleaning) | high | standard of cleanliness declinesloss of reputation | med | invite feedback from users regular review by sub- committee |
| Health & safety issues | low/med | injury to beneficiaries and the public liability for negligence | high | review risks annually maintain register of inspections & maintenance procedures |
| Loss of documents and records | low | operational delays | med | back up documents |
| Destruction of premises | low | charity unable to function | high | liaise with landlord re buildings insurance contents covered by insurance |

| Finance | Fraud or error | low | financial lossloss of reputation | med | monthly accounts presented to trustees for scrutiny |
|------------------|---|-----|---|------|---|
| | III-judged budgeting | low | inadequate funds to meet essential outgoings | med | annual budget scrutinised by trustees |
| | Inadequate or excessive reserves | low | lack of funds to cover unexpected requirements funds not used in best interests of beneficiaries | med | review reserves policy annually |
| | bank becomes insolvent | low | financial loss for a period of time | med | FSCS compensation scheme |
| External factors | Change in local demographic | low | membership of user groups falls | low | • none |
| | Change in Parish Council policy | low | lease not renewed | high | • none |
| | Competition from local halls | low | loss of income | high | • none |
| | Hall closure due to external forces | low | loss of income | high | • none |
| Compliance | Failure to comply with legal requirements | low | penalties exactedlitigationloss of reputation | med | identify key legal and regulatory requirements allocate responsibility for key compliance procedures |

| Failure to comply with Charity Commission requirements | low | • censure | low | regularly monitor Charity Commission guidance |
|--|-----|-----------|-----|---|
|--|-----|-----------|-----|---|